



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,217	07/08/2003	Ellis T. Cha	2855/97	7311
23838 759	0 11/01/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON			CHEN, TIANJIE	
1500 K STREET	NW			
SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2652	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/614,217	CHA, ELLIS T.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tianjie Chen	2652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three-months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
. 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 C</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>B</i>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-25 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9,12-25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/614,217 Page 2

Art Unit: 2652

2nd Non-Final Rejection

1. Applicant's request for consideration of clams 18-25, which were added in amendment filed on 03/16/2005 and were not treated due to oversight, is acknowledged. The finality of the rejection of the last Office action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - Claim 1 recites the limitation "said side air bearing surface" in lines 9-10.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 2-8 are rejected for their dependence from claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9 and 12-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pendray et al (US 6,678,119).

Art Unit: 2652

Claims 1 and 9: Pendray et al shows a subambient pressure air bearing slider in Fig. 2 including: a slider body defined by a leading edge 130, an inner and outer edge 132 and 133 extending longitudinally along the slider body, and a trailing edge 131 (Column 4, lines 7 and 8), the slider body including a leading air bearing surface 152 (Column 4, line 28); a leading portion 144 extending from the leading edge of the slider, the leading portion having a first height lower than a height of the leading air bearing surface (Column 4, lines 45-48); a subambient pressure region 146 extending between the leading portion and between the first and second low-profile members 220 and 222 (Fir. 2; column 40-42), the low-profile members having a height that is less than the height of the leading air bearing surface (Column 4, lines 45-48); and a absolute sizes (Column 6, line 11), which inherently includes width, of channel 190 in a latitudinal direction of the slider, and the location (Column 5, lines 28-30) are selected to achieve a predetermined flying height sensitivity to camber (roll) and crowing (pitch) in the slider (Column 6, lines 5-13 and column 5, lines 15-21).

Pendray et al does not specify a width and the location of the side air bearing surface. However, it is obvious that a width of the recessed portion of a side bearing surface 154, which is referred as the width of the portion recessed along axis 134 as indicted ay the mark 204 is equal to the width of the channel 190; and the location of 190 also determines the placement of the side air bearing surface of 154. As far as the width and the location of 190 are selected, the width and the placement of the recessed portion of the side bearing surface are selected.

Claims 2 and 18: Pendray et al shows that the height of the first and second low-profile members is equal to the first height (Column 4, lines 45-48 and column 6, lines 24-26).

Art Unit: 2652

Claims 3 and 19: Pendray et al shows that the slider is to be used in an ultra low flying height environment for a disk drive (Column 2, lines 9-11).

Claims 4 and 20: Pendray et al show a trailing air bearing surface 162 (Column 4, line 59) including a first rectangular portion facing the leading edge of the slider and a second rectangular portion facing the trailing edge of the slider (Fig. 2).

Claims 5 and 21: Pendray et al show that in one embodiment the slider 110 has a width of 1.01 mm (40 miles) and other large and small slider sizes can also be used (Column 4, lines 10-15). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been reasonably expecting the second rectangular portion has a width of less than approximately 30 mils.

Claims 6 and 22: Pendray et al does not specifically show that the second rectangular portions have a width of approximately 5 mils.

However, Applicant does not show unexpected results resulted from the particular width of 5 miles, not 4 or 6 miles. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found a suitable width from experimentation and optimization. As taking the size suggested by Pendray into account, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been expecting the results from the experimentation would include the width of approximately 5 miles.

Claims 7 and 23: In Pendray et al's device, width of the second rectangular portion is inherently limited to mask alignment tolerances in photolithographic process to manufacture the slider.

Furthermore, a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, see In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3 CCPC, 5/27/76); In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685 (CCPA 5/18/72); In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523

(CCPA, 4/26/73); In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324 (CCPA, 1/10/74); In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 11/21/85). The patentability of the final product in a "product by process" claim must be determined by the product itself and not the actual process and an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Therefore, the limitation of "limited to mask alignment tolerances in photolithographic process to manufacture the slider" is process related and will not gain weight in determining patentability.

Claims 8 and 24: Pendray further shows a read/write element 164 (Column 4, lines 63-64), wherein the second rectangular portion is disposed over the read/ write element.

Claim 12: the above described Pendray et al's device includes a method of designing a subambient pressure air bearing slider including a slider body defined by a leading edge, an inner and outer edge extending longitudinally along the slider body, and a trailing edge, the slider body including a leading air bearing surface and a leading portion extending from the leading edge of the slider, the leading portion having a first height lower than a height of the leading air bearing surface, the method including: selecting a width, in a longitudinal direction for the slider body, of a side air bearing surface and a position for the side air bearing slider to achieve a predetermined flying height sensitivity to crowning in the slider.

Claim 13: the above described Pendray et al's device the width in the longitudinal direction for the slider body, of a trailing air bearing surface is selected to achieve the predetermined flying height sensitivity to crowning in the slider (Column 3, lines 61-67).

Art Unit: 2652

Claim 14: the above described Pendray et al's device inherits selecting a width, in a lateral direction for the slider body, of the side air bearing surface to achieve a predetermined flying height sensitivity to camber in the slider.

Claim 15: the above described Pendray et al's device, the flying height sensitivities to crown and camber offset each other for the slider (Column 3, lines 60-65).

Claim 16: the above described Pendray et al's device includes positioning two low-profile members behind the leading air bearing surface and the leading portion to define a subambient pressure region.

Claim 17: the above described Pendray et al's device the trailing air bearing surface includes a leading rectangular portion and a trailing rectangular portion, the method further including: selecting a width, in the lateral direction for the slider body, of the trailing rectangular portion of the trailing air bearing surface to achieve a desired flying height for the slider.

Claim 25/9: Pendray et al shows a subambient pressure air bearing slider in Fig. 2 including: a slider body defined by a leading edge 130, an inner and outer edge 132 and 133 extending longitudinally along the slider body, and a trailing edge 131 (Column 4, lines 7 and 8), the slider body including a leading air bearing surface 152 (Column 4, line 28); a leading portion 144 extending from the leading edge of the slider, the leading portion having a first height lower than a height of the leading air bearing surface (Column 4, lines 45-48); a subambient pressure region 146 extending between the leading portion and between the first and second low-profile members 190 and 192 (Fir. 2; column 40-42), the low-profile members having a height that is less

Art Unit: 2652

than the height of the leading air bearing surface (Column 4, lines 45-48); and a absolute sizes (Column 6, line 11), which inherently includes width, of channel 190 in a latitudinal direction of the slider is selected to achieve a predetermined flying height sensitivity to camber (roll) and crowing (pitch) in the slider (Column 6, lines 5-13 and column 5, lines 15-21); wherein the low-profile members are not air bearing surface.

Pendray et al does not specify a width of the side air bearing surface. However, it is obvious that a width of the recessed portion of a side bearing surface 154, which is referred as the width of the portion recessed along axis 134 as indicted ay the mark is equal to the width of the channel 190. As far as the width of 190 is selected, the width of the recessed portion of the side bearing surface is selected.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/17/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reason presented in rejection shown above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tianjie Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-7570. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2652

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TIANJIE CHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINE